RESOLUTION NO. 1275

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, RATIFYING THE 2008 AMENDMENTS TO THE KING COUNTY COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act requires King County to prepare and maintain Countywide Planning Policies; and

WHEREAS, King County and the cities in the county have prepared an interlocal agreement providing that the King County Countywide Planning Policies and amendments to the policies must be ratified by at least 30 percent of the local governments representing 70 percent of the population of King County before they are adopted; and

WHEREAS, King County and the cities in King County prepared and adopted the Countywide Planning Policies in 1992 and have amended the policies from time to time; and

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Planning Council, which contains representatives from King County, Seattle, and other cities in the county, has proposed amendments to Potential Annexation Areas that involve the cities of Milton, Federal Way, Redmond, Kirkland, SeaTac, Sammamish, Covington, Burien and Seattle; and

WHEREAS, these amendments will benefit the City of Redmond and the region by supporting the eventual annexation of these areas by cities, now therefore;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, HEREBY RESOLVES that the 2008 Amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies set forth in Exhibit 1 attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as if set forth in full are hereby ratified by the City of Redmond.

ADOPTED by the Redmond City Council this 7th day of October, 2008.

CITY OF REDMOND

MAXOR JOHN MARCHIONE

ATTEST/AUTHENTICATED:

CITY CLERK

(SEAL)

FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK: PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL:

October 1, 2008 October 7, 2008

RESOLUTION NO.1275



KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

June 24, 2008

Ordinance 16151

| | Proposed No. 2008-0281.3 Sponsors Hague |
|----|---|
| 1 | AN ORDINANCE adopting amendments to the |
| 2 | Countywide Planning Policies; amending the interim |
| 3 | potential annexation areas map and ratifying the amended |
| 4 | Countywide Planning Policies for unincorporated King |
| 5 | County; and amending Ordinance 10450, Section 3, as |
| 6 | amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.030 and Ordinance 10450, |
| 7 | Section 4, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.040. |
| 8 | • |
| 9 | BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY: |
| 10 | SECTION 1. Ordinance 10450, Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.030 are |
| 11 | each hereby amended to read as follows: |
| 12 | A. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 Countywide Planning |
| 13 | Policies attached to Ordinance 11446 are hereby approved and adopted. |
| 14 | B. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning |
| 15 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12027. |
| 16 | C. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning |
| 17 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12421. |

| 18 | D. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
|----|---|--|
| 19 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 13260. | |
| 20 | E. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planni | |
| 21 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 through 4 to Ordinance 13415. | |
| 22 | F. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 23 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 13858. | |
| 24 | G. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 25 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14390. | |
| 26 | H. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 27 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14391. | |
| 28 | I. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 29 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14392. | |
| 30 | J. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 31 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14652. | |
| 32 | K. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 33 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 14653. | |
| 34 | L. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 35 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14654. | |
| 36 | M. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 37 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14655. | |
| 38 | N. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | |
| 39 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 14656. | |
| | | |

| 40 | O. The Phase II amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 41 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 14844. | | |
| 42 | P. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | | |
| 43 | Policies are amended as shown by Attachments A, B and C to Ordinance 15121. | | |
| 44 | Q. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | | |
| 45 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 15122. | | |
| 46 | R. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | | |
| 47 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 15123. | | |
| 48 | S. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | | |
| 49 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments A and B to Ordinance 15426. | | |
| 50 | T. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | | |
| 51 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments A, B and C to Ordinance 15709. | | |
| 52 | U. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | | |
| 53 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16056. | | |
| 54 | V. Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning | | |
| 55 | Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments A. B. C. D. E. F and G to this ordinance | | |
| 56 | SECTION 2. Ordinance 10450, Section 4, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.040 are | | |
| 57 | each hereby amended to read as follows: | | |
| 58 | A. Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance 10450 for the purposes | | |
| 59 | specified are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| 60 | B. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance | | |
| 61 | 10840 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| | | | |

| 62 | C. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 63 | 11061 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County. | | | |
| 64 | D. The Phase II amendments to the King County 2012 Countywide Planning | | | |
| 65 | Policies adopted by Ordinance 11446 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of | | | |
| 66 | unincorporated King County. | | | |
| 67 | E. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | | |
| 68 | shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12027 are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | | |
| 69 | population of unincorporated King County. | | | |
| 70 | F. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | | |
| 71 | shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12421, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | | |
| 72 | population of unincorporated King County. | | | |
| 73 | G. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | | |
| 74 | shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 13260, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | | |
| 75 | population of unincorporated King County. | | | |
| 76 | H. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | | |
| 77 | shown by Attachment 1 through 4 to Ordinance 13415, are hereby ratified on behalf of | | | |
| 78 | the population of unincorporated King County. | | | |
| 79 | I. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | | |
| 80 | shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 13858, are hereby ratified on behalf of | | | |
| 81 | the population of unincorporated King County. | | | |
| 82 | J. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | | |
| 83 | shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14390, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | | |
| 84 | population of unincorporated King County. | | | |
| | | | | |

| 85 | K. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 86 | shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14391, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| 87 | population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| 88 | L. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
| 89 | shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14392, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| 90 | population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| 91 | M. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, a | | |
| 92 | shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14652, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| 93 | population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| 94 | N. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, a | | |
| 95 | shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 14653, are hereby ratified on behalf of | | |
| 96 | the population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| 97 | O. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
| 98 | shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14654, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| 99 | population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| 100 | P. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
| 101 | shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 14655, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| 102 | population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| 103 | Q. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
| 104 | shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 14656, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| 105 | population of unincorporated King County. | | |

| R. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
|---|--|--|
| shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 14844, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| S. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, a | | |
| shown by Attachments A, B and C to Ordinance 15121, are hereby ratified on behalf of | | |
| the population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| T. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
| shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 15122, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| U. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, a | | |
| shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 15123, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| V. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
| shown by Attachments A and B to Ordinance 15426, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| W. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, | | |
| as shown by Attachments A, B and C to Ordinance 15709, are hereby ratified on behalf | | |
| of the population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| X. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as | | |
| shown by Attachment A to Ordinance 16056, are hereby ratified on behalf of the | | |
| population of unincorporated King County. | | |
| | | |

127 Y. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as 128 shown by Attachments A, B, C, D, E, F and G to this ordinance, are hereby ratified on 129 behalf of the population of unincorporated King County. 130 131 Ordinance 16151 was introduced on 6/2/2008 and passed as amended by the Metropolitan King County Council on 6/23/2008, by the following vote: Yes: 9 - Ms. Patterson, Mr. Dunn, Mr. Constantine, Ms. Lambert, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Gossett, Mr. Phillips and Ms. Hague No: 0 Excused: 0 KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON Julia Patterson, Chair ATTEST: Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council APPROVED this 3 day of 5 Ron Sims, County Executive

Attachments

A. Motion 08-1, B. Motion 08-2, C. Motion 08-3, D. Motion 07-1, E. Motion 07-2, F. Motion 07-4, G. Second Substitute Motion 08-4

April 16, 2008

Sponsored By: **Executive Committee** /pr 1 MOTION NO. 08-1 2 3 A MOTION to amend the Interim Potential Annexation Area 4 Map in the Countywide Planning Policies. 5 6 7 WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policies LU-31 and LU-32 anticipate the collaborative 8 designation of Potential Annexation Areas (PAA) and the eventual annexation of these 9 areas by cities. 10 WHEREAS, the attached PAA map amendment removes tax parcel number 3221049162 11 from the Potential Annexation Area of the City of Milton and adds the same tax parcel to 12 13 the PAA of the City of Federal Way. 14 WHEREAS, the attached PAA map amendment is supported by the City of Milton, the 15 City of Federal Way, and King County. 16 17 BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL 18 19 OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS: 20 21 1. Amend the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map by including the unincorporated 22 23 urban areas shown on attachment A of this motion, tax parcel number 3221049162, within the Potential Annexation Area of the City of Federal Way, and remove the same 24 25 tax parcel from the City of Milton PAA. 26 2. This amendment is recommended to the Metropolitan King County Council and the 27 Cities of King County for adoption and ratification. 28 29 ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on April 16. 30 31 2008 in open session and signed by the chair of the GMPC. 32 33 34 35 36 Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

Attachment B

| April 16, 2008 | Sponsored By: | Executive Committee | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| /pr | | | |
| MOTION NO. 08-2 | | | |
| | | | |
| | amend the Interim Potential | Annexation Area | |
| Map in the Co | untywide Planning Policies. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| WHEREAS, Countywide Pla | nning Policies LU-31 and LU- | -32 anticipate the collaborative | |
| designation of Potential Anne | exation Areas (PAA) and the e | ventual annexation of these | |
| areas by cities. | | | |
| THIRD PAGE 11 | | | |
| WIEKEAS, the attached PA | A map amendment removes 56 | from the Potential Annexation | |
| | and adds the same 56 acres to | the PAA of the City of | |
| Kirkland. | | | |
| WHEDEAC the ettech-1DA | A | About Con CIP 11 5 5 | |
| WILENEAS, the attached PAG City of Pedmond, and Wine C | A map amendment is supporte | a by the City of Kirkland, the | |
| City of Redmond, and King C | ошку. | | |
| E IT DECALATION THE AT | HE CDOURTH MANNA CONTROL | APP DI AARIN'IO COTTO | |
| OF KING COUNTY HEREB | HE GROWTH MANAGEME | NI PLANNING COUNCIL | |
| M KING COUNT I REKEB | I MOVES AS FOLLOWS: | | |
| | | | |
| 1 Amend the Interim Detant | ial Annexation Area Map by i | natudina tha unio | |
| urban areas shown on atta | chment A of this motion, with | in the Detential Apparation | |
| Area of the City of Virblan | nd, and remove the same proper | m me folential Annexation | |
| Redmond PAA. | na, ana remove the same prop | erues from the City of | |
| Roundid I AA. | | | |
| 2. This amendment is recomm | mended to the Metropolitas V | ing County Coursil and the | |
| Cities of King County for | adoption and ratification | ing County Council and the | |
| ADOPTED by the Growth Ma 2008 in open session and sign | anagement Planning Council o | f King County on April 16, | |
| | Ron Sims, Chair, Growth I | Management Planning Council | |

4/12/08

Attachment C

Sponsored By:

Executive Committee

/pr

MOTION NO. 08-3

A MOTION to amend the interim Potential Annexation Area map in the Countywide Planning Policies.

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policies LU-31 and LU-32 anticipate the collaborative designation of Potential Annexation Areas (PAA) and the eventual annexation of these areas by cities.

WHEREAS, the unincorporated urban area known as North Highline has been designated a PAA by more than one city.

WHEREAS, the City of SeaTac has also designated a portion of the North Highline area, shown on the attached map, as their Potential Annexation Area.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Amend the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map by including a portion of the unincorporated urban area known as North Highline, shown on attachment A of this motion, as an overlap - an area claimed by more than one city as a PAA.
- 2. This amendment is recommended to the Metropolitan King County Council and the Cities of King County for adoption and ratification.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on April 16, 2008 in open session, and signed by the chair of the GMPC.

Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

ATTACHMENT D

5/14/07

Sponsored By:

Executive Committee

/pr

l

MOTION NO. 07-1

A MOTION to amend the interim Potential Annexation Area map in the Countywide Planning Policies.

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policies LU-31 and LU-32 anticipate the collaborative designation of Potential Annexation Areas (PAA) and the eventual annexation of these areas by cities.

WHEREAS, the attached PAA map amendment removes several unincorporated urban areas not within the PAA of any city and adds these areas to the City of Sammamish PAA.

WHEREAS, the attached PAA map amendment is supported by the City of Sammamish and King County.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

- Amend the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map by including the unincorporated urban areas shown on attachment A of this motion, within the Potential Annexation Area of the City of Sammamish.
- This amendment is recommended to the Metropolitan King County Council and the Cities of King County for adoption and ratification.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on June 20, 2007 in open session, and signed by the chair of the GMPC.

Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

9/15/07

ATTACHMENT E

Sponsored By:

Executive Committee

/pr

3

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17 18

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

MOTION NO. 07-2

A MOTION to amend the interim Potential Annexation Area map in the Countywide Planning Policies.

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policies LU-31 and LU-32 anticipate the collaborative designation of Potential Annexation Areas (PAA) and the eventual annexation of these areas by cities.

WHEREAS, the unincorporated urban area known as North Highline has been designated a PAA by more than one city.

WHEREAS, the attached PAA map amendment is supported by the City of Burien, the City of Seattle, and King County.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Amend the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map by including the unincorporated urban area known as North Highline, shown on attachment A of this motion, as an overlap - an area claimed by more than one city as a PAA.
- 2. This amendment is recommended to the Metropolitan King County Council and the Cities of King County for adoption and ratification.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on October 3, 2007 in open session, and signed by the chair of the GMPC.

Ron Sims/Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

32 33

10/03/07

Sponsored By:

Executive Committee

/pr

MOTION NO. 07-4

A MOTION to amend the interim Potential Annexation Area map in the Countywide Planning Policies.

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policies LU-31 and LU-32 anticipate the collaborative designation of Potential Annexation Areas (PAA) and the eventual annexation of these areas by cities.

WHEREAS, the attached PAA map amendment removes several unincorporated urban areas not within the PAA of any city and adds these areas to the City of Covington PAA.

WHEREAS, the attached PAA map amendment is supported by the City of Covington and King County.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

- Amend the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map by including the unincorporated urban areas shown on attachment A of this motion, within the Potential Annexation Area of the City of Covington.
- 2. This amendment is recommended to the Metropolitan King County Council and the Cities of King County for adoption and ratification.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on October 3, 2007 in open session, and signed by the chair of the GMPC.

Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

June 18, 2008

Sponsored By:

/pr

SECOND SUBSTITUTE MOTION NO. 08-4

A MOTION by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County recommending the amendment of the Countywide Planning Policies by revising existing policies LU-31 and LU-32 to provide a mechanism for a city to move forward with the annexation of all or a portion of North Highline.

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policies LU-31 and LU-32 anticipate the collaborative designation of Potential Annexation Areas (PAA) and the eventual annexation of these areas by cities; and

WHEREAS, the unincorporated urban area known as North Highline has been designated a PAA by more than one city; and

WHEREAS, a mechanism is needed to resolve this PAA overlap.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

Amend Sections III, C-3, (Joint Planning and Urban Growth Areas around Cities) of the King County Countywide Planning Policies as follows:

LU-31 In collaboration with adjacent counties and cities and King County, and in consultation with residential groups residents, businesses, and other organizations in affected areas, each city shall designate a potential annexation area in the city's comprehensive plan. After recommendation by the GMPC and ratification pursuant to policy FW-1, Step 9, the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map shown in Appendix 2 shall be amended to show each city's approved PAA. Each potential annexation area shall be specific to each city. Potential annexation areas shall not overlap, except as allowed under policy LU-32. Within the potential annexation area, the city shall adopt criteria for annexation, including conformance with Countywide Planning Policies, and a schedule for providing urban services and facilities within the potential annexation area. This process shall ensure that unincorporated urban islands of King County are not created between cities and strive to eliminate existing islands between cities.

I

2

3

4

5 6

7

A city may annex territory only within its designated potential annexation area as shown on Appendix 2, the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map. All cities shall phase annexations to coincide with the ability for the city to coordinate the provision of a full range of urban services to areas to be annexed.

> The following applies only within the North Highline unincorporated area. Where PAAs overlap prior to January 1, 2009, the cities with the PAA overlap and the county should attempt to establish alternative non-overlapping PAA boundaries through a process of negotiation. Absent a negotiated resolution, a city may file a Notice of Intent to Annex with the Boundary Review Board for King County for territory within its designated portion of a PAA overlap as shown on the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map and detailed in the city's comprehensive plan after the following steps have been taken:

- The city proposing annexation has, at least 30 days prior to filing a Notice of Intent to annex with the Boundary Review Board, contacted in writing the cities with the PAA overlap and the county to provide notification of the city's intent to annex and to request a meeting or formal mediation to discuss boundary alternatives, and;
- The cities with the PAA overlap and the county have either: 2.
 - Agreed to meet but failed to develop a negotiated settlement to the overlap within 60 days of receipt of the notice, or:
 - Declined to meet or failed to respond in writing within 30 days of receipt of the notice.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on June 18, 2008 in open session.

Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council